

**15 November 1972**

**Dr. A. J. Goudie  
Johnson & Johnson  
Research Center  
501 George Street  
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08901**

**Dear Dr. Goudie:**

**Here is our modified thinking on the baby powder samples 108T and 109T. After looking at several fresh samples on the light microscope we have not been able to substantiate the tremolite levels we originally reported.**

**Yours sincerely,**

**Ian M. Stewart  
Manager, Electron Optics  
Group**

**ajw  
Ref: MA 2546  
Enclosures**

15 November 1972

Dr. A. J. Goudie  
Johnson & Johnson  
Research Center  
501 George Street  
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08901

Dear Dr. Goudie:

Here is our modified thinking on the baby powder samples  
1087 and 1097. After looking at several fresh samples on  
the light microscope we have not been able to substantiate  
the tremolite levels we originally reported.

Yours sincerely,

Ian M. Stewart  
Manager, Electron Optics  
Group

ajw  
Ref: MA 2546  
Enclosures



Dr. A. J. Goudie  
Johnson and Johnson  
Research Center  
501 George Street  
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08901

EXAMINATION  
OF  
JOHNSON AND JOHNSON'S BABY POWDER

Date: 27 October 1972

MA Number: 2546

Copy of 4

walter c. mc crone associates, inc.  
2820 SOUTH MICHIGAN AVENUE • CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60616

JOJO-MA2546-01486

## EXAMINATION OF JOHNSON AND JOHNSON'S BABY POWDER

### Summary

Two samples of Johnson and Johnson's Baby Powder, batch number 108T and 109T, which correspond to the samples examined by Professor Seymour Z. Lewin of New York University on behalf of the FDA have been examined by x-ray diffraction, light microscopy, transmission electron microscopy and electron diffraction to determine whether they contain any asbestiform minerals.

Both samples contained an insignificant amount of tremolite — a few isolated crystals. Neither sample contained chrysotile.

### Introduction

On behalf of the FDA, Professor Seymour Z. Lewin of New York University is examining a number of commercial talcum powders for the presence of asbestiform minerals. Two of the samples which he has examined are samples of Johnson and Johnson's Baby Powder, batch number 108T and batch number 109T. Johnson and Johnson therefore requested Walter C. McCrone Associates to examine samples from the same batches to determine whether they contained any asbestiform minerals.

### Materials and Method of Conducting Tests

Two samples were submitted, identified as Johnson and Johnson's Baby Powder, batch numbers 108T and 109T.

For x-ray diffraction examination, the samples were examined on a Phillips-Norelco verticle diffractometer using  $\text{CuK}\alpha$  radiation and a scanning speed of  $1^\circ$  per minute. The dispersion staining technique was used for the light microscopical examination and the electron microscopy-electron diffraction examination was carried out using procedures previously described (MA report 2330-1; dated 10 August 1971).

## Results

### X-ray Diffraction

The diffractograms were carefully examined in the vicinity of the major peaks of chrysotile and tremolite. Neither mineral was present. The presence of peaks in the vicinity of  $12.0-12.5^{\circ} 2\theta$ , the region in which one of the principal lines of chrysotile may be found, was correlated with peaks in the vicinity of  $6^{\circ} 2\theta$  and are thus attributable to chlorites. No significant peaks were observed in the  $24^{\circ}$  region which would be required were chrysotile present.

### Light Microscopy

Using the dispersion staining technique and a liquid of refractive index 1.550, the samples were examined for chrysotile particles and fibers, but none could be found. Using a similar technique with a liquid of refractive index 1.605, the samples were similarly examined for the presence of tremolite and a few individual crystals were found, some rod shaped.

### Electron Microscopy and Electron Diffraction

Several electron microscope grids from both samples were examined in their entirety and although some fibers were observed these were shown by electron diffraction to be shards of talc or rolled talc. No chrysotile fibers were found.

### Conclusion

A detailed examination of two samples of Johnson and Johnson's Baby Powder, batch numbers 108T and 109T has shown this material to be substantially free of asbestiform minerals. A few tremolite rods were observed in both samples. No chrysotile has been detected.

Respectfully submitted,

Ian M. Stewart  
Manager, Electron Optics Group

Dr. A. J. Goudie  
Johnson and Johnson  
Research Center  
501 George Street  
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08901

EXAMINATION  
OF  
JOHNSON AND JOHNSON'S BABY POWDER

27 October 1972

2546

4

## EXAMINATION OF JOHNSON AND JOHNSON'S BABY POWDER

### Summary

Two samples of Johnson and Johnson's Baby Powder, batch number 108T and 109T, which correspond to the samples examined by Professor Seymour Z. Lewin of New York University on behalf of the FDA have been examined by x-ray diffraction, light microscopy, transmission electron microscopy and electron diffraction to determine whether they contain any asbestiform minerals.

Both samples contained an insignificant amount of tremolite — a few isolated crystals. Neither sample contained chrysotile..

### Introduction

On behalf of the FDA, Professor Seymour Z. Lewin of New York University is examining a number of commercial talcum powders for the presence of asbestiform minerals. Two of the samples which he has examined are samples of Johnson and Johnson's Baby Powder, batch number 108T and batch number 109T. Johnson and Johnson therefore requested Walter C. McCrone Associates to examine samples from the same batches to determine whether they contained any asbestiform minerals.

### Materials and Method of Conducting Tests

Two samples were submitted, identified as Johnson and Johnson's Baby Powder, batch numbers 108T and 109T.

For x-ray diffraction examination, the samples were examined on a Phillips-Norelco verticle diffractometer using  $\text{CuK}\alpha$  radiation and a scanning speed of  $1^\circ$  per minute. The dispersion staining technique was used for the light microscopical examination and the electron microscopy-electron diffraction examination was carried out using procedures previously described (MA report 2330-1; dated 10 August 1971).

# EXAMINATION OF JOHNSON AND JOHNSON'S BABY POWDER

## Summary

Two samples of Johnson and Johnson's Baby Powder, batch number 108T and 109T, which correspond to the samples examined by Professor Seymour N. Levin of New York University on behalf of the FDA have been examined by x-ray diffraction, light microscopy, transmission electron microscopy and electron diffraction to determine whether they contain any asbestos-like minerals. Both samples contained an insignificant amount of tremolite (4-5%). Neither sample contained chrysotile.

## Introduction

On behalf of the FDA, Professor Seymour N. Levin of New York University is examining a number of commercial talc and talc-like powders for the presence of asbestos-like minerals. Two of the samples which he has examined are samples of Johnson and Johnson's Baby Powder, batch number 108T and batch number 109T. Johnson and Johnson therefore requested Walter O. McCrone Associates to examine samples from the same batches to determine whether they contained any asbestos-like minerals.

## Materials and Method of Analytical Tests

Two samples were submitted, identified as Johnson and Johnson's Baby Powder, batch number 108T and 109T. On x-ray diffraction examination, the samples were examined on a Phillips-X-ray diffractometer using CuK $\alpha$  radiation and a scanning speed of 1° per minute. The dispersion staining technique was used for the light microscopic examination and the electron microscopy-electron diffraction examination was carried out using procedures previously described (ALA report 388-1-1 dated August 1971).

## Results

### X-ray Diffraction

The diffractograms were carefully examined in the vicinity of the major peaks of chrysotile and tremolite. Neither mineral was present. The presence of peaks in the vicinity of  $12.0-12.5^\circ 2\theta$ , the region in which one of the principal lines of chrysotile may be found, was correlated with peaks in the vicinity of  $6^\circ 2\theta$  and are thus attributable to chlorites. No significant peaks were observed in the  $24^\circ$  region which would be required were chrysotile present.

### Light Microscopy

Using the dispersion staining technique and a liquid of refractive index 1.550, the samples were examined for chrysotile particles and fibers, but none could be found. Using a similar technique with a liquid of refractive index 1.605, the samples were similarly examined for the presence of tremolite and a few individual crystals were found, some rod shaped.

### Electron Microscopy and Electron Diffraction

Several electron microscope grids from both samples were examined in their entirety and although some fibers were observed these were shown by electron diffraction to be shards of talc or rolled talc. No chrysotile fibers were found.

### Conclusion

A detailed examination of two samples of Johnson and Johnson's Baby Powder, batch numbers 108T and 109T has shown this material to be substantially free of asbestiform minerals. A few tremolite rods were observed in both samples. No chrysotile has been detected.

Respectfully submitted,

Ian M. Stewart  
Manager, Electron Optics Group

11-11-61

The diffraction patterns were carefully examined in the vicinity of the region peaks of the orthorhombic and monoclinic. The diffraction pattern was present. The presence of peaks in the vicinity of  $12.0-12.5^\circ 2\theta$ , the region in which one of the principal lines of orthorhombic may be found, was correlated with peaks in the vicinity of  $0^\circ 2\theta$  and one line attributable to orthorhombic. No significant peaks were observed in the  $2\theta$  region which would be required were orthorhombic present.

11-11-61

Using the dispersion staining technique and a liquid of refractive index 1.500, the samples were examined. In orthorhombic particles and fibers, but none could be found. Using a similar technique with a liquid of refractive index

of the two samples would be approximately  $0.5^\circ 2\theta$  for 100T and about  $0.2-0.3^\circ 2\theta$  for 100T.

For 100T.

11-11-61

Several electron microscopy studies of the samples were examined. In their original and although some fibers were observed these were shown by electron diffraction to be similar to the orthorhombic fibers. No orthorhombic fibers were found.

11-11-61

A detailed examination of two samples of Johnson and Johnson's "Kitty Powder" dated between 1951 and 1952 has shown this material to be substantially free of orthorhombic structure. A few orthorhombic nodes were observed in both samples at a level less than  $0.5^\circ 2\theta$ . No orthorhombic has been detected.

Respectfully submitted,

For Mr. Stewart  
Manager, Electron Optics Group

Dear Dr Goudie

Here is our modified thinking on the Baby Powder Samples 108T and 109T. After looking at several fresh samples on the light microscope we have not been able to substantiate the tremolite levels we originally reported. ~~and~~ Although it is possible that we had misidentified ~~amorphous~~ ~~to have therefore~~ ~~must~~ This report is basically identical to the earlier one

Y.S.  
R.S.

**27 October 1972**

**Dr. A. J. Goudie  
Johnson and Johnson  
Research Center  
501 George Street  
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08901**

**Dear Dr. Goudie:**

**Here is our report on the baby powder samples. I hope to have the Shower to Shower report out to you soon, but something always seems to break loose when I sit down to write it.**

**Yours sincerely,**

**Ian M. Stewart  
Manager, Electron Optics  
Group**

**ajw  
Ref: MA 2546  
Ecnlsoure**

27 October 1972

Dr. A. J. Goudie  
Johnson and Johnson  
Research Center  
501 George Street  
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08801

Dear Dr. Goudie:

Here is our report on the baby powder samples. I hope to have  
the shower to shower report out to you soon, but something  
always seems to break loose when I sit down to write it.

Yours sincerely,

Ian M. Stewart  
Manager, Electron Optics  
Group

ajw  
Ref: MA 2546  
Enclosure



Dr. A. J. Goudie  
Johnson and Johnson  
Research Center  
501 George Street  
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08901

EXAMINATION  
OF  
JOHNSON AND JOHNSON'S BABY POWDER

Date: 27 October 1972

MA Number: 2546

Copy of 4

walter c. mc crone associates, inc.  
2820 SOUTH MICHIGAN AVENUE • CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60616

## EXAMINATION OF JOHNSON AND JOHNSON'S BABY POWDER

### Summary

Two samples of Johnson and Johnson's Baby Powder, batch number 108T and 109T, which correspond to the samples examined by Professor Seymour Z. Lewin of New York University on behalf of the FDA have been examined by x-ray diffraction, light microscopy, transmission electron microscopy and electron diffraction to determine whether they contain any asbestiform minerals.

Both samples contained an insignificant amount of tremolite ( $\leq 0.5\%$ ). Neither sample contained chrysotile.

*a few isolated crystals*

### Introduction

On behalf of the FDA, Professor Seymour Z. Lewin of New York University is examining a number of commercial talcum powders for the presence of asbestiform minerals. Two of the samples which he has examined are samples of Johnson and Johnson's Baby Powder, batch number 108T and batch number 109T. Johnson and Johnson therefore requested Walter C. McCrone Associates to examine samples from the same batches to determine whether they contained any asbestiform minerals.

### Materials and Method of Conducting Tests

Two samples were submitted, identified as Johnson and Johnson's Baby Powder, batch numbers 108T and 109T.

For x-ray diffraction examination, the samples were examined on a Phillips-Norelco verticle diffractometer using  $\text{CuK}\alpha$  radiation and a scanning speed of  $1^\circ$  per minute. The dispersion staining technique was used for the light microscopical examination and the electron microscopy-electron diffraction examination was carried out using procedures previously described (MA report 2330-1; dated 10 August 1971).

walter c. mocrone associates, inc.

JOJO-MA2546-01498

## Results

### X-ray Diffraction

The diffractograms were carefully examined in the vicinity of the major peaks of chrysotile and tremolite. Neither mineral was present. The presence of peaks in the vicinity of  $12.0-12.5^\circ 2\theta$ , the region in which one of the principal lines of chrysotile may be found, was correlated with peaks in the vicinity of  $6^\circ 2\theta$  and are thus attributable to chlorites. No significant peaks were observed in the  $24^\circ$  region which would be required were chrysotile present.

### Light Microscopy

Using the dispersion staining technique and a liquid of refractive index 1.550, the samples were examined for chrysotile particles and fibers, but none could be found. Using a similar technique with a liquid of refractive index 1.605, the samples were similarly examined for the presence of tremolite and a few individual crystals were found, some rod shaped. ~~The total tremolite content of the two samples would be approximately 0.5% for 108T and about 0.2-0.3% for 109T.~~

### Electron Microscopy and Electron Diffraction

Several electron microscope grids from both samples were examined in their entirety and although some fibers were observed these were shown by electron diffraction to be shards of talc or rolled talc. No chrysotile fibers were found.

### Conclusion

A detailed examination of two samples of Johnson and Johnson's Baby Powder, batch numbers 108T and 109T has shown this material to be substantially free of asbestiform minerals. A few tremolite rods were observed in both samples ~~at a level less than 0.5%.~~ No chrysotile has been detected.

Respectfully submitted,

*Ian M. Stewart*

Ian M. Stewart  
Manager, Electron Optics Group